

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

NOTICE OF FILING

This document was filed electronically in the High Court of Australia on 24 May 2024 and has been accepted for filing under the *High Court Rules 2004*. Details of filing and important additional information are provided below.

	Details of Filing
File Number: File Title:	S52/2024 Birketu Pty Ltd ACN 003 831 392 & Anor v. Atanaskovic & C
Registry:	Sydney
Document filed: Filing party:	Form 27B - Appellant's chronology Appellants
Date filed:	24 May 2024

Important Information

This Notice has been inserted as the cover page of the document which has been accepted for filing electronically. It is now taken to be part of that document for the purposes of the proceeding in the Court and contains important information for all parties to that proceeding. It must be included in the document served on each of those parties and whenever the document is reproduced for use by the Court.



Note: see rule 44.02.3.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA SYDNEY REGISTRY

BETWEEN:

S52/2024

S52/2024

BIRKETU PTY LTD ACN 003 831 392 First Appellant

WIN CORPORATION PTY LTD

ACN 000 737 404 Second Appellant

and

JOHN LJUBOMIR ATANASKOVIC First Respondent

LAWSON ANDREW JEPPS

Second Respondent

MAURICE JOCELYN CASTAGNET

Third Respondent

APPELLANTS' CHRONOLOGY

Part I: Certification

This chronology is in a form suitable for publication on the internet.

Part II:

Date	Event	Source
25 May 2018	The First and Second Respondents commenced	AJ[2] (CAB 53)
	Proceedings No. 2018/164411 against the	
	Appellants to recover professional fees and	
	disbursements totaling \$1,093,648 pursuant to	

	seven invoices issued in respect of having acted	
	for the Appellants in six matters.	
9 August 2019	Hammerschlag J, delivered judgment for the	AJ[3] (CAB 53)
	First and Second Respondents for \$928,982	
	(relating to six of the seven invoices) and	
	reserved for further consideration the seventh	
	("the investigation invoice") relating to a	
	retainer to investigate the circumstances in	
	which the First and Second Respondents' former	
	employed solicitor Brody Clarke, had	
	perpetrated frauds on the First Appellant.	
15 May 2020	Hammerschlag J held that the First and Second	AJ[3] (CAB 53)
	Respondents were not entitled to recover on the	
	investigation invoice, except to a very small	
	extent, and gave judgment for them for an	
	additional \$14,930 and otherwise dismissed the	
	summons: Atanaskovic and persons named in	
	Schedule A t/as Hartnell v Birketu Pty Ltd -	
	Supervisory Jurisdiction [2020] NSWSC 573.	
19 June 2020	Hammerschlag J delivered judgment in	AJ[5] (CAB 54)
	proceedings 2018/164411 and made orders,	
	inter alia that: the First Appellant to pay the	
	First and Second Respondents' costs of the	
	proceedings up to and including 16 September	
	2019, attributable to its claim on the six	
	invoices, assessed on the ordinary basis (order	
	2); and that the First and Second Respondents	
	were to pay the First Appellant's costs of the	
	proceedings from 10 August 2019 (the date of	
	the final Judgment), assessed on the indemnity	
	basis (order 3): John Ljubomir Atanaskovic and	
	the persons named in Schedule A trading as	

	Atanaskovic Hartnell v Birketu Pty Ltd - Costs	
	[2020] NSWSC 779 (Costs Judgment).	
24 December	The First and Second Respondents served a	PJ[4] (CAB 9)
2021	copy of its proposed application for costs	
	assessment on the First Appellant.	
13 January 2022	The First Appellant wrote to the First and	PJ[4] (CAB 9)
	Second Respondents objecting to the entirety of	
	its claim for professional costs for work done by	
	the First and Second Respondents' employed	
	solicitors.	
24 January 2022	The First and Second Respondents responded	PJ[4] (CAB 9)
	to the 13 January 2022 letter taking issue with	
	the First Appellant's objection.	
1 February 2022	The First and Second Respondents filed their	AJ[7] (CAB 54)
	application for costs assessment pursuant to	
	order 2 of Hammerschlag J made on 19 June	
	2020: Costs Assessment Proceeding:	
	2022/029349.	
17 February 2022	The Third Respondent wrote to the First	PJ[5] (CAB 9)
	Appellant and the Second and Third	
	Respondents confirming that he had been	
	appointed as Costs Assessor in relation to the	
	Costs Assessment Proceeding.	
9 March 2022	The First Appellant made submissions to the	PJ[5] (CAB 9-
	Third Respondent in relation to jurisdiction and	10)
	the future conduct of the Costs Assessment	
	Proceeding.	
10 March 2022	The First and Second Respondents made	PJ[5] (CAB 10)
	submissions to the Third Respondent pertaining	、 /
	to costs agreements entered into between the	
	First and Second Respondents and their	
	Counsel.	

16 March 2022	The First and Second Respondents made further	PJ[5] (CAB 10)
	submissions to the Third Respondent,	
	addressing the First Respondent's 9 March 2022	
	submissions.	
23 March 2022	The Third Respondent granted an extension of	PJ[5] (CAB 10)
	time to the First Appellant to put on further	
	submissions in response to the First and Second	
	Respondents' submissions of 10 and 16 March.	
6 April 2022	The First Appellant made further submissions to	PJ[5] (CAB 10)
	the Third Respondent in response to the First	
	and Second Respondents' submissions of 10 and	
	16 March.	
22 April 2022	The Third Respondent wrote to the parties	PJ[6] (CAB 10)
	regarding orders 2 and 3 of the Costs Judgment	
	and making directions regarding the Costs	
	Assessment Proceedings (22 April Email).	
6 May 2022	The First Appellant wrote to the Third	PJ[7] (CAB 11)
	Respondent that the 22 April Email constituted	
	a finding capable of appeal and requested the	
	Third Respondent's formal reasons for his	
	decision set out in the 22 April Email.	
6 July 2022	The First Appellant reiterated the request for the	PJ[7] (CAB 11)
	Third Respondent's formal reasons for his	
	decision set out in the 22 April Email.	
6 July 2022	The Third Respondent responded to the First	PJ[7] (CAB 11)
	Appellant's request, rejecting it.	
2 August 2022	The Appellants filed a Summons in the Supreme	AJ[8] (CAB 55)
	Court of New South Wales for judicial review of	
	the Third Respondent's decision in his 22 April	
	Email.	
26 October 2022	Judgment handed down by Brereton JA: Birketu	CAB 5-37
	v Castagnet [2022] NSWSC 1435.	

	1	
14 November	The First and Second Respondents filed a Notice	CAB 38-43
2022	to Appeal in the Court of Appeal of New South	
	Wales.	
15 December	Judgment handed down by the Court of Appeal:	CAB 44-161
2023	Atanaskovic v Birketu Pty Ltd [2023] NSWCA	
	312.	
11 January 2024	The Appellants file an application for special	
	leave to appeal.	
11 April 2024	Special leave to appeal to the High Court of	CAB 168-9
	Australia granted to the Appellants.	
23 April 2024	The Appellants' filed Notice of Appeal with the	CAB 170-3
	High Court of Australia pursuant to the grant of	
	leave on 11 April 2024.	
3 May 2024	The Third Respondent filed Notice of	CAB 174-5
	Submitting Appearance with the High Court of	
	Australia.	

Dated: 22 May 2024

Counsel for the appellants

Jethalm

Bret Walker 02 8257 2527 caroline.davoren@stjames.net.au

Dinient

Alastair Vincent 02 9151 2934 alastair.vincent@greenway.com.au